

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/669,999	HARNEY, DONALD	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hugh B. Thompson II	3634	

All Participants:
Status of Application: non-final

 (1) Hugh B. Thompson II(PTO).

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Brett Trout.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 December 2006
Time: am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

21-24,27

Prior art documents discussed:

Reichel-451, Van Herpen-483

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 21 will be amended to recite the relationship/orientation between axis of the hinge plate and axis of the journaled means, and that those axis are different. Claim 22 will be amended to recite the sleeve coupled to the hinge plate, the hinge plate hinged to the base plate, and that the means for securing the leg to the hinge plate secures the leg coaxial with the sleeve. These changes serve to distinguish over the prior art of record. .